

## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <a href="http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content">http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content</a>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

In order to compare the number of branches per tassel with the ears per plat, 100 was taken as the number on the plats with no manure in each case, and the others expressed in relative numbers.

RELATIVE NUMBER OF BRANCHES PER TASSEL AND EARS PER PLAT

-	1911		1912		1913		Average	
Manure Applied	Branches per Tassel	Ears per Plat	Branches per Tassel	Ears per Plat	Branches per Tassel	Ears per Plat	Branches per Tassel	Ears per Plat
None 5 tons 15 tons.	100 112 115	100 107 107	$100 \\ 109 \\ 125$	100 127 132	100 129 157	$100 \\ 127 \\ 160$	$100 \\ 121 \\ 127$	$100 \\ 121 \\ 132$

The effect of the irrigation water on the number of branches per tassel and the ears per plat is expressed in the following table, which is an average of the three years' results.

EFFECT OF SOIL MOISTURE ON THE NUMBER OF
BRANCHES PER TASSEL AND EARS PER PLAT

Water Applied	Num- ber Plats Each Year	Number Branches per Tassel	Number Ears per Plat	Relative Number of		
				Branches per Tassel	Ears per Plat	
None	6	16.25	69.28	100	100	
5 inches	6	16.78	76.05	103	110	
10 inches	6	16.33	71.27	101	103	
20 inches	6	16.49	77.38	102	112	
30 inches	6	17.15	73.28	106	106	
40 inches	6	16.56	75.28	102	109	

These tables show that the number of branches per tassel is affected by the condition of the soil, and that there is a close relationship between the tassel branches and number of ears produced.

It seems clear, therefore, that the staminate and the pistillate flowers of maize are affected by the same conditions.

Frank S. Harris

UTAH EXPERIMENT STATION, LOGAN, UTAH

## ASCARIS SUUM IN SHEEP

An autopsy of an eight-months-old lamb upon which with others of the same age, a feeding experiment was being conducted revealed the presence of two female ascarids in the small intestine. By the aid of the key in Ransom<sup>1</sup> these were diagnosed as Ascaris ovis. These lambs, however, were being fed and kept in pens, previously occupied by hogs, known to be infested with ascarids. The pens had been thoroughly cleaned out before the lambs were placed in them. An examination of the ascarids in the light of this information emphasized their close similarity if not idenity to Ascaris suum.

The mothers of these lambs were shipped up from the Carpenter Test Farm in the spring of 1912. No ascarids have ever been found in the sheep on this farm. The examination of the feces of the ewes from which these lambs were raised has never revealed the presence of ascarids. It appears highly probable, therefore, that the lamb got its infestation from the pen in which it was kept and that the eggs from which the worms developed were deposited in the pen by the infested hogs which previously occupied it.

The status of the different species of ascarids affecting man, swine and sheep seems to be somewhat in question. It is considered questionable by some authors whether Ascaris ovis (sheep) represents a distinct species, or whether it is simply Ascaris lumbricoides (man) or Ascaris suum (pig) in an unusual host. Circumstantial evidence in the case here recorded strongly indicates that this statement may be true. It is also questioned by some whether Ascaris suum and Ascaris lumbricoides represent distinct species. In fact, Neveu-Lemaire<sup>2</sup> does not consider the differences between these worms marked enough to establish a separate species and reduces Ascaris suum Goeze, 1872, and Ascaris suilla Dujardin, 1845, to synonyms. He calls the ascarids of these two different hosts Ascaris lumbricoides Linne, 1758. Feeding experiments may serve to clear up this confusion.

DON C. MOTE

OHIO AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION, WOOSTER, O.

- <sup>1</sup> Ransom, "The Nematodes Parasitic in the Alimentary Tract of Cattle, Sheep and other Ruminants," 1911.
- <sup>2</sup> M. Neveu-Lemaire, "Parasitologie des Animaux Domestiques," 1912.